## **Abstract #2794**

# **Determinants of Response of HER2+ Gastric Cancer vs** Gastroesophageal Junction Adenocarcinoma to Margetuximab plus Pembrolizumab post Trastuzumab

# H. Park<sup>1</sup>, H.E. Uronis<sup>2</sup>, Y-K. Kang<sup>3</sup>, M. Ng<sup>4</sup>, P.C. Enzinger<sup>5</sup>, K.W. Lee<sup>6</sup>, S. Rutella<sup>7</sup>, S. Church<sup>8</sup>, J. Vadakekolathu<sup>7</sup>, J. Davidson-Moncada<sup>9</sup>, Y.J. Bang<sup>12</sup>, D.V.T. Catenacci<sup>13</sup>, H. Park<sup>1</sup>, H.E. Uronis<sup>2</sup>, Y-K. Kang<sup>3</sup>, M. Ng<sup>4</sup>, P.C. Enzinger<sup>5</sup>, K.W. Lee<sup>6</sup>, S. Rutella<sup>7</sup>, S. Church<sup>8</sup>, J. Vadakekolathu<sup>7</sup>, J. Davidson-Moncada<sup>9</sup>, Y.J. Bang<sup>12</sup>, D.V.T. Catenacci<sup>13</sup>, H. Park<sup>1</sup>, H.E. Uronis<sup>2</sup>, Y-K. Kang<sup>3</sup>, M. Ng<sup>4</sup>, P.C. Enzinger<sup>5</sup>, K.W. Lee<sup>6</sup>, S. Rutella<sup>7</sup>, S. Church<sup>8</sup>, J. Vadakekolathu<sup>7</sup>, J. Davidson-Moncada<sup>9</sup>, Y.J. Bang<sup>12</sup>, D.V.T. Catenacci<sup>13</sup>, H. Park<sup>1</sup>, H.E. Uronis<sup>2</sup>, Y-K. Kang<sup>3</sup>, M. Ng<sup>4</sup>, P.C. Enzinger<sup>5</sup>, K.W. Lee<sup>6</sup>, S. Rutella<sup>7</sup>, S. Church<sup>8</sup>, J. Vadakekolathu<sup>7</sup>, J. Davidson-Moncada<sup>9</sup>, Y.J. Bang<sup>12</sup>, D.V.T. Catenacci<sup>13</sup>, H. Park<sup>1</sup>, H.E. Uronis<sup>2</sup>, Y-K. Kang<sup>3</sup>, M. Ng<sup>4</sup>, P.C. Enzinger<sup>5</sup>, K.W. Lee<sup>6</sup>, S. Rutella<sup>7</sup>, S. Church<sup>8</sup>, J. Vadakekolathu<sup>7</sup>, J. Davidson-Moncada<sup>9</sup>, Y.J. Bang<sup>12</sup>, D.V.T. Catenacci<sup>13</sup>, H. Rosales<sup>9</sup>, J. Vadakekolathu<sup>7</sup>, J. Catenacci<sup>14</sup>, J. Kang<sup>14</sup>, R. Kang<sup></sup>

<sup>1</sup>Washington University Medical School, St. Louis, MO, USA; <sup>2</sup>Duke Cancer Center, Durham, NC, USA; <sup>3</sup>Asan Medical Center, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea; <sup>4</sup>National Cancer Centre, University of Ulsan College, MA, USA; <sup>6</sup>Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, Seongnam, Republic of Korea; <sup>4</sup>National Cancer Centre, University Bundang Hospital, Seongnam, Republic of Korea; <sup>4</sup>National Cancer Centre, University of Ulsan College, MA, USA; <sup>6</sup>Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, Seongnam, Republic of Korea; <sup>4</sup>National Cancer Centre, University Bundang Hospital, Seongnam, Republic of Korea; <sup>4</sup>National Cancer Centre, University Bundang Hospital, Seongnam, Republic of Korea; <sup>4</sup>National Cancer Center, University of Ulsan College, MA, USA; <sup>6</sup>Seoul National Cancer Centre, University of Ulsan, Republic of Korea; <sup>4</sup>National Cancer Center, University Bundang Hospital, Seongnam, Republic of Korea; <sup>4</sup>National Cancer Center, University Bundang Hospital, Seongnam, Republic of Korea; <sup>4</sup>National Cancer Center, University Bundang Hospital, Seongnam, Republic of Korea; <sup>4</sup>National Cancer Center, University Bundang Hospital, Seongnam, Republic of Korea; <sup>4</sup>National Cancer Center, University Bundang Hospital, Seongnam, Republic of Korea; <sup>4</sup>National Cancer Center, University Bundang Hospital, Seongnam, Republic of Korea; <sup>4</sup>National Cancer Center, University Bundang Hospital, Seongnam, Republic of Korea; <sup>4</sup>National Center, University Bundang Hospital, Seongnam, Republic of Korea; <sup>4</sup>National Center, Seongnam, Nottingham Trent University-Clifton Campus, Nottingham, United Kingdom; National University Hospital, Seoul, Republic of Korea; 13 University of Chicago Medical Center, Chicago, IL, USA; 14 Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul, Republic of Korea; 13 University of Chicago Medical Center, Chicago, IL, USA; 14 Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul, Republic of Korea; 13 University of Chicago Medical Center, Chicago, IL, USA; 14 Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul, Republic of Korea; 13 University of Chicago Medical Center, Chicago, IL, USA; 14 Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul, Republic of Korea; 13 University of Chicago Medical Center, Chicago, IL, USA; 14 Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul, Republic of Korea; 14 University Hospital, Seoul, Republic of Korea; 14 USA; 14 Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul, Republic of Korea; 14 USA; 14 Seoul National University, CA, US

NCT02689284

### Background

- Trastuzumab + chemotherapy is standard treatment in 1st line advanced HER2+ gastroesophageal adenocarcinoma (GEA); however patients tend to progress in 6–8 months
- Up to 40% show loss of HER2 expression post trastuzumab, likely underlying the lack of efficacy of anti-HER2 agents in 2nd line therapy
- Margetuximab is an investigational next generation anti-HER2 monoclonal antibody with an engineered Fc domain that confers enhanced Fc-dependent antitumor activities across all FcyRIIIA (CD16A) genotypes
- Margetuximab has demonstrated single agent antitumor activity in patients with HER2+ GEA in a Phase 1 study
- We report herein a clinical update and biomarker analysis of an ongoing study in patients receiving margetuximab plus pembrolizumab, a chemotherapy-free treatment, in HER2+ GEA patients in 2nd line post trastuzumab

### Margetuximab: Fc-engineered to Activate Immune Responses

Trastuzumab



- Binds HER2 with high specificity
   Disrupts signaling that drives cell proliferation and survival
  - Wild-type immunoglobulin G1 (lgG1) immune effector domains Binds and activates immune cells

### Margetuximab<sup>1,2</sup>

|  | <ul> <li>Fab:</li> <li>Same specificity<br/>and affinity</li> <li>Similarly disrupts<br/>signaling</li> </ul>                              | Margetuximab Binding to FcyR Variants |          |                    |                        |                         |  |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|----------|--------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--|
|  |  | Receptor<br>Type                      | Receptor | Allelic<br>Variant | Relative Fc<br>Binding | Affinity<br>Fold-Change |  |
|  |  |                                       |          | 158F               | Lower                  | 6.6 x ↑                 |  |
|  | <ul> <li>Fc engineering:</li> <li>↑ Affinity for activating FcγRIIIA (CD16A)</li> <li>↓ Affinity for inhibitory FcγRIIB (CD32B)</li> </ul> | Activating                            | CDTOA    | 158V               | Higher                 | 4.7 x 个                 |  |
|  |  | Activating                            | CD224    | 131R               | Lower                  | 6.1 x ↓                 |  |
|  |  |                                       | CD3ZA    | 131H               | Higher                 | $\leftrightarrow$       |  |
|  |  | Inhibitory                            | CD32B    | 232I/T             | Equivalent             | 8.4 x ↓                 |  |

<sup>1</sup>Nordstrom JL, et al. *Breast Cancer Res.* 2011;13(6):R123. <sup>2</sup>Stavenhagen JB, et al. *Cancer Res.* 2007;67(18):8882-8890.

### Margetuximab Enhances Innate Immunity In Vitro

Greater relative cytotoxicity of margetuximab with NK cells from CD16A-158F allele carriers



### Preclinical Assay of Antibody-Dependent Cellular Cytotoxicity (ADCC)<sup>1</sup>

- Effector Cells: Human NK cells from donors with CD16A genotypes 158VV, 158FV, and 158FF
- Target Cells: JIMT-1 HER2+ breast cancer cell line resistant to trastuzumab antiproliferative
- Cellular Assay: 3:1 Effector: Target ratio; 24-hour incubation time; endpoint: % lactate dehydrogenase release

<sup>1</sup>Nordstrom JL, et al. Breast Cancer Res. 2011;13(6):R123. mAb: monoclonal antibody; NK: natural killer.

# Margetuximab Enhances HER2-specific Adaptive Immunity<sup>1,2</sup>

- Phase 1 margetuximab monotherapy study in 66 pretreated patients with HER2+ carcinomas<sup>3,4</sup> - Four (17%) confirmed responses in 24 evaluable patients with HER2+ MBC<sup>3</sup>
- Three patients continue on margetuximab at least 4 to 6 years, as of 15 May 2019<sup>4</sup> Enhanced HER2-specific T- and B-cell responses after margetuximab monotherapy<sup>5</sup>



Nordstrom JL, et al. Breast Cancer Res. 2011;13(6):R123. <sup>2</sup>Stavenhagen JB, et al. Cancer Res. 2007;67(18):8882-8890. <sup>3</sup>Bang YJ, et al. Ann Oncol. 7;28(4):855-861. <sup>4</sup>Im SA, et al. *Cancer Res.* 2019;79(suppl 4): Abstract P6-18-11. <sup>5</sup>Nordstrom JL, et al. ASCO 2019 Poster (Abstr. #1030).

### Anti-PD-1 Enhances Margetuximab-mediated NK Cell Cytolytic Potential In Vitro



**Innate Immunity** 



# Study Design

**Dose Escalation** 

largetuximab 10 mg/kg (n= 15 mg/kg (n=6) q3w

- post trastuzumab **Primary Endpoint:**
- **Secondary Endpoints:**
- **Exploratory Endpoints:**

- data showed ~80% concordance
- (per standard FDA approved assay)
- IO360™

Presented at the 2019 Annual Congress of the European Society for Medical Oncology, September 27–October 1, 2019, Barcelona, Spain

### **Proposed Margetuximab and Pembrolizumab Synergistic Mechanisms of Action**

Margetuximab engages the innate immune system and activates the adaptive immune system supporting combination with checkpoint inhibitors

### Methods

Fully Enrolled Phase 2 Study in Advanced HER2+ Gastric Carcinoma



• HER2-positive (archival IHC3+, or ICH2+/FISH positive), PD-L1-unselected 2nd line GEA pts

– 92 patients treated at recommended Phase 2 dose (RP2D) of 15 mg/kg margetuximab + 200 mg pembrolizumab included in analysis (data cut 10 July 2019)

Safety, tolerability, overall response rate (ORR)

Progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS); PFS and OS at 6 months

• Disease control rate (DCR) = proportion of patients with complete response (CR) + partial response (PR) + stable disease (SD) for a minimum of 12 weeks

• HER2-amplification (post-trastuzumab) was confirmed by NGS of circulating-tumor DNA (ctDNA) for *ERBB2*amp (Guardant360<sup>®</sup>) as a surrogate for HER2 expression; previously presented

PD-L1 tested on archival tissue by IHC (Clone 22C3 pharmDx); Combined Positive Score

Anti-HER2 T-cell immunity measured by ELISPOT on PBMCs

Gene expression profile performed on archival FFPE biopsies by NanoString PanCancer

| Characteristic  |                           | All Patients (n=92)* |
|---|---------------------------|----------------------|
| Ago   | Mean ± SD                 | 60.2 ± 12.83         |
| Age   | Median (Range)            | 61.0 (19, 85)        |
| Gender [n (%)]  | Male                      | 75 (81.5)            |
|   | Female                    | 17 (18.5)            |
|   | Asian                     | 51 (55.4)            |
| $P_{2} = \left[ p_{1} \left( \frac{9}{2} \right) \right]$ | White                     | 34 (37.0)            |
|   | Other                     | 4 (4.3)              |
|   | Black or African American | 3 (3.3)              |
| ECOG Status [p (%)]                                       | 0                         | 33 (35.9)            |
|   | 1                         | 59 (64.1)            |
| Diagnosis [n (%)]   | Gastric Cancer            | 61 (66.3)            |
|   | GEJ Cancer                | 31 (33.7)            |
| Microsatellite Stable [n (%)]                             |                           | 84 (91.3)            |

### Safety

- Treatment with combination of margetuximab and pembrolizumab demonstrated acceptable tolerability
- 63% of patients experienced treatment-related AE (TRAE), irrespective of grade
- 19.6% of patients with TRAE  $\geq$  Grade 3
- Most common TRAE is pruritis in 17.4% • 7 drug-related serious adverse events reported: autoimmune hepatitis (2), hyponatremia, dehydration, diabetic ketoacidosis, infusion-related reaction, and pneumonitis (1 each)
- 18 adverse events of special interest reported: infusion-related reaction (11), autoimmune hepatitis (2), endocrinopathy, LVEF dysfunction, pneumonitis (1 each); others (3)

Data cutoff 10 July 2019. Events in  $\geq$  2 patients at 15 mg/kg margetuximab.

### Best Response by HER2 Expression and Tumor Site



### **Targetable Biomarker Expression in Selected Populations**

| Biomarker Data          |               |                |               |  |  |  |  |
|-------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| Positive Biomarker      | All Patients* | Gastric Cancer | GEJ Cancer    |  |  |  |  |
| <i>ERBB2</i> amp        | 48/82 (58.5%) | 35/56 (62.5%)  | 13/26 (50.0%) |  |  |  |  |
| PD-L1+                  | 33/76 (48.7%) | 26/54 (48.1%)  | 7/22 (31.8%)  |  |  |  |  |
| HER2 3+                 | 71/92 (77.2%) | 55/61 (90.2%)  | 16/31 (51.6%) |  |  |  |  |
| <i>ERBB2</i> amp/PD-L1+ | 18/39 (46.2%) | 23/26 (88.5%)  | 1/13 (7.7%)   |  |  |  |  |
|                         |               |                |               |  |  |  |  |

• Approximately 60% (32/53) of patients tested had retained HER2 expression post-trastuzumab as determined by *ERBB2* amp using ctDNA

Approximately 49% of patients tested were PD-L1+ by IHC

patients with GC

Data cutoff 10 July 2019. \*Includes only patients evaluated per assay.

### Higher Biomarker Expression in GC is Associated with Improved Clinical Activity

|  | N  | ORR* (%, n)   | DCR (%, n)    | mPFS (months; 95% Cl) | mOS (months; 95% Cl) |
|--|----|---------------|---------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Overall  | 92 | 21.7% (20/92) | 54.4% (50/92) | 2.73 (1.61, 4.34)     | 12.5 (9.07, 14.09)   |
| Gastric Cancer   | 61 | 29.5% (18/61) | 65.6% (40/61) | 4.1 (2.60, 5.52)      | 13.9 (9.72, 20.47)   |
| GEJ Cancer   | 31 | 6.5% (2/31)   | 32.3% (10/31) | 1.4 (1.35, 3.61)      | 9.2 (4.96, 14.03)    |
| Gastric Cancer<br>HER2 IHC 3+                          | 55 | 32.7% (18/55) | 69.1% (38/55) | 4.7 (2.66, 7.49)      | 14.6 (10.55, NR)     |
| Gastric Cancer<br>HER2 IHC 3+/PD-L1+                   | 23 | 52.2% (12/23) | 82.6% (19/23) | 5.52 (2.60,13.90)     | 20.47 (8.08, NR)     |
| Gastric Cancer<br>HER2 IHC 3+/PD-L1+/ <i>ERBB2</i> amp | 14 | 71.4% (10/14) | 92.9% (13/14) | 6.60 (1.61, 5.54)     | NR (6.74, NR)        |
| *17 confirmed, 3 unconfirmed responses                 |    |               |               |                       |                      |

### Results

| Advorso Event                     | All Rela   | All Related AE |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------------|------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| Auverse Event                     | All (N=92) | ≥ Gr 3         |  |  |  |
| AL                                | 58 (63%)   | 18 (19.6)      |  |  |  |
| ritus                             | 16 (17.4)  |                |  |  |  |
| rrhoea                            | 14 (15.2)  |                |  |  |  |
| sion related reaction             | 12 (13.0)  | 2 (2.2)        |  |  |  |
| gue                               | 12 (13.0)  |                |  |  |  |
| h                                 | 8 (8.7)    |                |  |  |  |
| h maculo-papular                  | 5 (5.4)    |                |  |  |  |
| emia                              | 7 (7.6)    | 4 (4.3)        |  |  |  |
| ase increased                     | 4 (4.3)    | 1 (1.1)        |  |  |  |
| artate aminotransferase increased | 4 (4.3)    | 1 (1.1)        |  |  |  |
| Isea                              | 4 (4.3)    | 2 (2.2)        |  |  |  |
| ls                                | 3 (3.3)    |                |  |  |  |
| ylase increased                   | 3 (3.3)    | 2 (2.2)        |  |  |  |
| erthyroidism                      | 3 (3.3)    |                |  |  |  |
| nine aminotransferase increased   | 3 (3.3)    |                |  |  |  |
| enal insufficiency                | 3 (3.3)    |                |  |  |  |
| 1                                 | 2 (2.2)    |                |  |  |  |
| lominal pain                      | 2 (2.2)    |                |  |  |  |
| exia                              | 2 (2.2)    |                |  |  |  |
| niting                            | 2 (2.2)    | 2 (2.2)        |  |  |  |
| od alkaline phosphatase increased | 2 (2.2)    | 1 (1.1)        |  |  |  |
| tion fraction decreased           | 2 (2.2)    |                |  |  |  |
| oimmune hepatitis                 | 2 (2.2)    | 2 (2.2)        |  |  |  |
| umonitis                          | 2 (2.2)    | 1 (1.1)        |  |  |  |
| pheral neuropathy                 | 2 (2.2)    |                |  |  |  |
| otension                          | 2 (2.2)    | 1 (1.1)        |  |  |  |
|                                   |            |                |  |  |  |

• For both markers (PD-L1 and *ERBB2*amp), a higher rate of expression was observed in



### Preliminary Correlative Studies: NanoString Gene **Expression Analysis**

Increasing expression of PD-L1 and ERBB2 is associated with response



Increased intratumor NK cell abundance is associated with response



• GEJ Cancer

atients treated at RP2D for HER2+GEA post-trastuzumab (n=52). NK CD56<sup>dim</sup> genes: IL21R, KIR2DL3, KIR3DL1, KIR3DL2. Further analysis patients on this study is presented "Evaluation of tumor microenvironment identifies immune correlates of response to combination nunotherapy with margetuximab (M) and pembrolizumab (P) in HER2+ gastroesophageal adenocarcinoma (GEA)" Abstract #2547.

### Preliminary Correlative Studies: Anti-HER2 T-cell Immunity

Margetuximab activates the adaptive immunity as evidenced by increase in anti-HER2 specific T-cell immunity



frequency of antigen-specific T cells frequencies (per million PBMC plated) that recognize vaccine antigens, HER2 ICD protein, HER2 ECD fragment (aa 22-122), HER2 p59 class II peptide, HER2 p88 class II peptide, HER2 p422 class II peptide and a pan class II binding cyclin D1 peptide. Right panel shows the mean pre-treatment (Pre) and highest post-vaccination frequency of CEA and tetanus toxoid (TT)-specific cells for the same patients. Inset lines trace the pre and post responses for each unique patient for which there was a pre and post eatment value. p values (shown in the upper portion of the figure) were calculated using the Wilcoxon matched pairs ranked sum test for paired samples only (n=31). B: Paired pre- (C1D1) and post-treatment (C4D1) PBMC samples, obtained from 31 patients with HER2+ cancer, were subjected to IFN-y ELISpot assays with different HER2 antigens. T cell responses were defined as positive if the number of antigenspecific T cells per million PBMC in the post-treatment sample increased by  $\geq 2$ -fold compared to the pre-treatment baseline sample. **C:** Pre-treatment (C1D1, Pre) (n=40 patients) frequency of antigen-specific T cells frequencies (per million PBMC plated) that HER2 p59 class II peptide. Left panel shows the mean pre-treatment (Pre) in relations to M+P treatment outcome. Right panel shows the mean pre-treatment (Pre) in relation to tumor location.

Correlative studies further support the mechanism of action of margetuximab and pembrolizumab in the GEA patient population.



### Margetuximab + Anti-PD-1 Data in 2<sup>nd</sup> Line Presents Opportunity to Advance to 1<sup>st</sup> Line HFR2+ gastric cancer henchmark

|                            | 1 <sup>st</sup> Line | 2 <sup>nd</sup> Line   |   |               |                            |  |  |
|----------------------------|----------------------|--|---|---------------|----------------------------|--|--|
|                            | SOC                  | SOC  | Ongoing Ph                                | Failed        |                            |  |  |
| Agent (Cturchy)            | Trastuzumab +        | Ramucirumab +<br>Paclitaxel <sup>ь</sup><br>(RAINBOW)              | Margetuximab + Pembrolizumab <sup>c</sup> |               | Pembrolizumab <sup>d</sup> |  |  |
| Agent (Study)              | (TOGA)               |  | IHC 3+                                    | IHC 3+/PD-L1+ | (KEYNOTE-61) 🗙             |  |  |
| ORR                        | 47%                  | 28%  | 33%                                       | 52%           | 15.8% (PD-L1+)             |  |  |
| Median PFS                 | 6.7 mos.             | 4.4 mos.   | 4.7 mos.                                  | 5.5 mos.      | 1.5 mos.                   |  |  |
| Median OS                  | 13.1 mos.            | 9.6 mos.   | 14.6 mos.                                 | 20.5 mos.     | 9.1 mos                    |  |  |
| ≥ Grade 3 TRAEs            | 68%                  | Overall: N/A<br>41% Neutropenia<br>15% Hypertension<br>12% Fatigue | 20%                                       | 20%           | 14.3%                      |  |  |
| Gastric/GEJ<br>Patient Mix | 80/20%               | 80/20%   | 100%/0%                                   | 100%/0%       | Not disclosed              |  |  |

<sup>a</sup>Data from Herceptin package insert; Bang, et al., *Lancet*, 2010. <sup>b</sup>Data from Cyramza package insert; Wilkes, et al., *Lancet Oncology*, 2014. <sup>c</sup>Grade 3 TRAE includes all GC and GEJ patients (n=92). <sup>d</sup>Data presented at ASCO 2018, Abstract 4062.

### Conclusions

- Margetuximab is an Fc-engineered anti-HER2 antibody that mediates enhanced innate responses and leads to increased HER2-specific adaptive immune responses in patients with HER2+ gastric and breast carcinoma
- Margetuximab can upregulate the expression of PD-1 on NK and NKT cells, and anti-PD-1 antibody (MGA012) can further potentiate the enhancement of NK cell function by margetuximab in vitro
- The combination of margetuximab + pembrolizumab (M+P), as a chemotherapy-free regimen, demonstrated acceptable safety and tolerability in patients with HER2 GEA that have progressed/recurred after prior 1L therapy including trastuzumab
- The combination of M+P has demonstrated encouraging antitumor activity in patients with 2<sup>nd</sup> line HER2-positive, PD-L1 unselected GEA after treatment with trastuzumab plus chemotherapy
- ORR that exceed historical experience with either margetuximab or checkpoint inhibitor alone – ORR further increased in gastric cancer patients whose tumors are HER2 IHC 3+ (33%) – ORR most pronounced in gastric cancer patients whose tumors are both HER2 IHC3+ and PD-L1+ (52%)
- Maturing data from this ongoing study suggest that the combination of margetuximab + checkpoint prolonged PFS, and in particular, overall survival\* compared to historical experience with checkpoint inhibitor alone, or existing standard of care
- Exploratory biomarker studies suggest potential associations between ERBB2 and PD-L1 expression in tumor microenvironment (TME), baseline NK infiltration at baseline in the TME, and pre-existing HER2 specific T-cell immunity with objective response to M+P, as well as evidence of enhancement on HER2 specific T-cell immunity with M+P
- Based on these observations, the combination of margetuximab + a checkpoint inhibitor could provide a potential chemotherapy-free regimen for the treatment of GEA and/or be used with chemotherapy to improve the clinical activity of existing 1L SoC
- A Phase 2/3 study (MAHOGANY) is being initiated to evaluate margetuximab in combination with a checkpoint inhibitor with or without chemotherapy in 1L GEA
- \*Margetuximab plus Pembrolizumab for Treatment of Patients with HER2-Positive Gastroesophageal Adenocarcinoma (GEA) Post-Trastuzumab: Survival Analysis, Abstract Number: 2812.

MAHOGANY Phase 2/3 Study: Registration Path in 1L Gastric

### and GEJ Cancer Margetuximab + Anti-PD-1 (Chemo-free Regimen) (add'l patients to support potential accelerated approval in the US) HER2+ (IHC 3+ Primary Endpoint: ORR Single Experimental Arm: margetuximab + MGA012 and PD-L1+ (≥1% C Single Experimental Arn margetuximab + MGA01 getuximab + Chemo + MacroGenics' Checkpoint Inhibitor (n=50 per arm) Standard of Care: trastuzumab + chemo HER2+ (IHC 3+) Experimental Arm #1: margetuximab + chemo + MGA Experimental Arm #2: margetuximab + chemo + MG Experimental Arm #3: margetuximab + chemo \*Pending chronic tox study (if regimen with MGD013 is selected).

This study was sponsored by MacroGenics, Inc. Copies of this poster obtained through QR (Quick Response) and/or text key codes are for personal use only and may not be reproduced without written permission of the authors.



 Gastric Cancer GEJ Cancer